

AMENDMENT SHEET FOR
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
21st JUNE 2023

AGENDA FRONT SHEET ITEM 3: Planning Applications

Insert Items 4B and 4B, second page

Item	Reference Number	Address	Recommendation
4A	23/00440/REVPP	1 North Close, Aldershot	For information
4B	23/00461/FUL	Aldershot Park Crematorium Guildford Road, Aldershot	For information

Section A: Future Items for Committee, page 9

Insert Items 4A and 4B as follows:

4A	23/00440/REVPP	Variation of Condition 1 of planning permission 21/00048/REVPP dated 17 February 2022 for the erection of a restaurant with drive-thru and takeaway facility, to allow permitted hours of use from 06:00 to 00:00, 7 days a week, on a permanent basis McDonalds, 1 North Close, Aldershot This application has only recently been received and consultation is under way. It is too early to present this application to Committee
4B	23/00461/FUL	Extension of the porte cochere, alteration to fenestration (including materials), and removal of existing cloister Aldershot Park Crematorium, Guildford Road, Aldershot This application has only recently been received and consultation is under way. It is too early to present this application to Committee

Section A, Items for determination. Item 5 23/00292/FULPP - Farnborough Airport Farnborough Road, page 11.

Additional comments received;

- Little Shawfield Churt Road, Farnham

I am concerned that this represents yet more increases in the volume of low flying, noisy a/c traffic funnelled directly overhead the 14,000 residents of Grayshott, Hindhead, Beacon Hill, Churt, Rushmoor, Tilford on the southern STAR into Farnborough. The noise is highly invasive in what used to be a quiet rural area. Increased buildings equals greater volumes and should be curtailed not increased.

Officer comment: As set out within the report, the proposal does not involve any change in the number of flights permitted by the operative planning permission (20/00871/REVPP).

- 6 The Sycamores, Farnborough,

[on the website] The applicant, under the heading '**Constraint Type**' says the proposed terminal is '**Within Third Party Risk Area**'. But under the heading '**Status**' it then says '**Ceased**'.

Whilst PSZ areas have been reduced, the proposed terminal must fall within Rushmoor's unamended annual individual risk contours invoked in the Local Plan Policy Sp4.4; this policy being among those listed as material to the determination of this application. (See Richard Ward's confirmation that the contours can only be amended in support of an application to change the aircraft mix or permitted annual movement).

Therefore, in determining this planning application, the Planning Committee should recognise the need to maintain a clear distinction between PSZ and Local Plan policy, notwithstanding that in this case safety is a matter for the operator as the development falls entirely within the airport boundary.

Officer comment: The site is located outside of the PSZ and these comments are therefore not relevant to the determination of this application.